REMARKS

Claims 1 through 7 were presented for examination in the present application and remain pending upon entry of the instant amendment. Claims 1 and 7 are independent.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for his time on February 1, 2007 to discuss the present application.

Claims 1 through 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 over U.S. Publication No. 2002/0082914 to Beyda et al. (Beyda).

Applicants respectfully submit that amended claims 1 and 7 are not disclosed or suggested by Beyda.

Independent claim 1 now recites that the home page automatic update system includes a patrol search unit which determines the <u>order of priority for accessing</u> the mail server, the home page database, the management terminal, and the storage device, said order of priority being determined in advance (emphasis added).

Applicant respectfully submits that the schedule data and priority order of Beyda does not disclose or suggest the order of priority for accessing now recited by claim 1. As such, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 is not disclosed or suggested by Beyda. Therefore, claim 1, as well as claims 2 through 6 that depend therefrom, are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection to claims 1 through 6 are respectfully requested.

Similar to claim 1 above, independent claim 7 now recites the step of determining, in advance, the order of priority for accessing the mail server, the home page database, the management terminal.

Serial No. 10/672,622 Art Unit 2165

Again, Applicant respectfully submits that the schedule data and priority order of Beyda does not disclose or suggest the determining, in advance, the order of priority for accessing of amended claim 7. As such, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 7 is not disclosed or suggested by Beyda. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection to claim 7 are respectfully requested.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. Such action is solicited. If for any reason the Examiner feels that consultation with Applicant's attorney would be helpful in the advancement of the prosecution, the Examiner is invited to call the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

February <u>20</u>, 2007

Charles N. J. Ruggier Reg. No. 28,468

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.

One Landmark Square, 10th floor

Stamford, CT 06901-2682

Tel: (203) 327-4500 Fax: (203) 327-6401